Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

VERIZON VIRGINIA LLC and)
VERIZON SOUTH INC.,)
Complainants,) Docket No
v. VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY d/b/a DOMINION VIRGINIA POWER) File No
Defendants)))

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE RESPONSE

Virginia Electric and Power Company d/b/a Dominion Virginia Power ("Dominion"), by and through its undersigned counsel, and pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 1.1407(a), respectfully requests that the Enforcement Bureau grant an extension of time of sixty (60) additional days within which Dominion may file its response to the Complaint in the above-captioned proceeding. The extended deadline for filing Dominion's response, if granted pursuant to this Motion, would be November 2, 2015.

In support of this Motion, Dominion states as follows:

1. On August 3, 2015, Verizon Virginia LLC and Verizon South, Inc. (collectively, "Verizon") filed with the FCC their pole attachment complaint in the above-captioned proceeding ("Complaint"). The Complaint is comprised of seven hundred (700) pages, including, among other supporting documents, affidavits of an expert economist, and of Verizon's in-house subject matter expert, contract manager, and network engineer. Although Verizon's demand for relief does not indicate any specific dollar amount, Verizon alleges that it is due to be refunded up to \$16.8 million paid to Dominion in annual pole attachment fees since 2011.

- 2. The Pole Attachment Rules provide, in general, that the respondent in a complaint proceeding shall file its response to the complaint within thirty (30) days of the date on which the complaint was filed.¹ On respondent's motion, and where proper justification is shown, however, the Enforcement Bureau may grant an extension of time to file the response.²
- 3. The extension of time requested by Dominion is reasonable in consideration of the enormous volume of materials to which Dominion must respond, and the substantial damages that Verizon claims it has suffered under the parties' current and predecessor joint use agreements. In particular, Dominion must retain its own economic expert to review and opine on the joint use rate calculation methodologies presented in the Complaint, and coordinate the appropriate individuals within its business units to prepare affidavits responding to those of Verizon's joint use managers. Given staggered vacation schedules during the summer months, the task of assembling the required resources to fully address the allegations set forth in the Complaint will be even more challenging. As the Pole Attachment Rules provide Dominion only one chance to defend itself against claims that total up to \$16.8 million, it is critical that Dominion is accorded sufficient time to prepare its best, and most complete response.
- 4. The extension of time requested will not prejudice Verizon, or cause undue delay in the proceedings before the Enforcement Bureau. Rather, the additional time requested will enable the Bureau to decide this matter according to its usual practices on a full record.
- 5. On August 7, 2015, counsel to Verizon agreed to an extension of time of thirty (30) additional days within which Dominion may file its response to the Complaint. Although Dominion appreciates the willingness of Verizon to accommodate its request, the full sixty (60) days requested herein is needed for Dominion to thoroughly respond to the allegations set forth in the Complaint.

¹ 47 C.F.R. § 1.1407(a).

² Id

WHEREFORE, for the reasons set forth herein, Dominion requests an extension of time of sixty (60) additional days within which Dominion may file its response to the Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

Brett Heather Freedson
Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC
600 Grant Street NW – 44th Floor
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
412-566-1912 (telephone)
bfreedson@eckertseamans.com

Charles A. Zdebski Robert J. Gastner Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC 1717 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20006

Counsel to Dominion Virginia Power

Dated: August 12, 2015

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 12, 2015, I caused a copy of the foregoing Motion for Extension of Time to File Response to be served on the following (service method indicated):

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554 (via ECFS)

Lia Royle
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554
lia.royle@fcc.gov
(via email)

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr., Deputy Secretary Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 888 First Street, N.E. Washington, DC 20426 (via U.S. Mail)

Virginia State Corporation Commission Division of Energy Regulation P.O. Box 1197 Richmond, VA 23218 (via U.S. Mail)

William H. Johnson
Roy E. Litland
Verizon
1320 North Courthouse Road.
Arlington, VA 22201
will.h.johnson@verizon.com
roy.litland@verizon.com
(via email and U.S. Mail)

Christopher S. Huther Claire J. Evans Wiley Rein LLP 1776 K Street NW Washington, DC 20006 chuther@verizon.com cevans@wileyrein.com (via email and U.S. Mail)

Horace P. Payne, Esq.
Virginia Electric and Power Company
120 Tredegar Street, Riverside 2
Richmond, VA 23219
horace.p.payne@dom.com
(via email and U.S. Mail)

Brett Heather Freedson, Esq. Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC 600 Grant Street, 44th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 bfreedson@eckertseamans.com

Charles A. Zbedski, Esq.
Robert J. Gastner, Esq.
Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC
1717 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, 12th Floor
Washington, DC 20006
czbedski@eckertseamans.com
rgastner@eckertseamans.com

Brett Heather Freedson